arXiv:hep-th/9611206v1 25 Nov 1996

Reply to Comment on "Spinning Cosmic Strings and Quantization of Energy"

Pawel O. Mazur *

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

University of South Carolina,

Columbia, S.C. 29208

(24 November 1996)

The preceding comment [1] has raised the question of the validity of the argument presented in the Letter [2] that the energy of the particles should be quantized in the background of a spinning cosmic string. As the construction of the electromagnetic analogy given in [2] suggests, a spinning cosmic string is the gravitational analog of the Aharonov-Bohm solenoid (flux tube), and the angular momentum per unit length J corresponds to the magnetic flux Φ and the mass per unit length m corresponds to the charge per unit length[1] q. Mathematically, the problem of the quantum field propagating in the background of a spinning cosmic string is analogous to the quantum mechanics of charged particles in the field of the Aharonov-Bohm solenoid [3]. One expects, therefore, the appearance of the gravitational Aharonov-Bohm effect. In fact, it can be easily shown that the gravitational scattering cross section of particles with energy E in the background of a spinning string with angular momentum J and mass m per unit length is [4] [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dzd\vartheta} &= \hbar\frac{\sin^2(\pi\beta/\alpha)}{2\pi E}\left[\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2\alpha}-\frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)\right]^{-1}, \\ \beta &= 4GJE/\hbar, \alpha = 1-4Gm. \end{aligned}$$

The spinning string is "transparent", i.e., it cannot be detected by scattering experiments, only if the energy E of particles satisfies the quantization condition obtained in [2], $E = \hbar n\alpha/4GJ$.

One may adopt two different points of view about this quantization condition; one of them is presented in [2]. Namely, we observe that the external metric of the idealized infinitely thin spinning string has the causality-violating region, which one might say is physically unacceptable if it is not shielded by the event horizon or if it is not inside the matter (i.e., if it is not the case that the thickness of a spinning string is greater than the size of the causality-violating region). The presence of causality-violating regions would be detected unless particles have quantized energies for which a

*Electronic address: mazur@swiatowid.psc.sc.edu

[1] Strictly speaking, it corresponds to the charge; added on November 24, 1996.

[2] This paper has never been submitted for publication; the results of this work were described in several seminars in the fall of 1986 and in the spring of 1987, and it was not quite understood by my audience; added on November 24, 1996.

spinning string is "transparent". This quantization condition on the energy of particles propagating in the background of a spinning string is mathematically equivalent to the imposition of boundary conditions periodic in time on the wave functions. Effectively the space-time of the spinning string is periodic in time.

There is another possible interpretation of the above-mentioned result. Namely, the spinning string is the real thing and it has some finite thickness, which, in general, might be greater than the size of the the causality-violating region. The external metric of this string will still be described by Eq. (3) of [2]. In such a case a spinning string will cause the characteristic Aharonov-Bohm-type scattering of particles. It is the physically sound requirement of nondetectability of causality-violating regions which leads us to postulate the quantization condition which, on the other hand, is not logically implied by physics of string.

Note added on November 24, 1996.

After this note was written [3], approximately 2 years later, several papers appeared which contain the same idea and describe the similar calculation [7, 8, 9]. Once established, the Aharonov-Bohm character of quantum mechanical interaction between (spinning) particles in $2+1$-dimensional gravitation of Staruszkiewicz [5, 6] has led immediately to the gauge model reformulation [10], which is physically rather misleading . The latter work also extends some earlier work on the abelian gauge model of the same type [11]. This author presented the basic idea of the new quantization condition for the gravitational mass-energy, which involves also the Newton constant G (in addition to the Planck constant h) in the paper published some time later [12]. The quantized spectrum of energy for the gravitational two-body problems of massless particles interacting with the spinning string [4] and the massless particle in the s-wave sector interacting with a Schwarzschild black hole must follow from the same physical principle [12]. It was then suggested that quantization of the gravitational mass-energy, and the existence of the gravitational quanta (the space-time-matter quanta) must follow from some fundamental physical principle such as the Universal Second Law [13].

References

[1] J. Samuel and B. R. Iyer, preceding Comment [Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2379 (1987)].

[2] P. O. Mazur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 929 (1986).

[3] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115**, 485 (1959).

[4] P. O. Mazur, to be published.

References added on November 24, 1996:

[5] A. Staruszkiewicz, Acta Phys. Polon. **24**, 734 (1963).

[6] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, and G. t' Hooft, Ann. Phys. **152**, 220 (1984).

[7] G. 't Hooft, Commun. Math. Phys. **117**, 685 (1988).

[3] This short note was written at the end of November 1986 when the author was a research associate at Syracuse University; so, it was never distributed in the preprint form.

[4] The spinning string of [2, 14] is a torsion vortex and should be considered an analog of the Abrikosov vortex in the context of the Palatini formulation of Einstein's General Relativity Theory.

[8] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. **118**, 495 (1988).

[9] P. de Sousa and R. Jackiw, Commun. Math. Phys. **124**, 229 (1989).

[10] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B311**, 46 (1988).

[11] A. M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A3**, 325 (1988).

[12] P. O. Mazur, Acta Phys. Polon. **B26**, 1685 (1995).

[13] P. O. Mazur, Acta Phys. Polon. **B27**, 1849 (1996).

[14] K. Koltko, P. O. Mazur, and W. Puszkarz, submitted to Phys. Rev. **D**.